## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DISTRICT COURT

ONITED STATES DISTRICT COURT for the		SOI D Wille
		MAR 2 6 2008
Western District of Virginia		JOHN F. CORCORAN, CLERK BY: W/// DEPUTY CLERK
United States of America ) v. )		J. Cuff 1011 OLLAR
Clarence James Martin, Sr.	Case No: 4:05CR00029-002	
	) USM No: <u>12199-084</u>	
Date of Previous Judgment: May 1, 2007 (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)	) Defendant's Attorney	
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)		
Upon motion of $\square$ the defendant $\square$ the Director (§ 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment improvements been lowered and made retroactive by the Unite § 994(u), and having considered such motion,	osed based on a guideline sentencin	g range that has
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:  ☐ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's put the last judgment issued) of	oreviously imposed sentence of imposed sentence of imposed to	•
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANG Previous Offense Level:  Criminal History Category: Previous Guideline Range:  151 to 188 months	E (Prior to Any Departures)  Amended Offense Level:  Criminal History Category:  Amended Guideline Range:	29 IV 121 to 151 months
<ul> <li>II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELIN</li> <li>☑ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.</li> <li>☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction amended guideline range.</li> <li>☐ Other (explain):</li> </ul>	ge. the guideline range applicable to th	
III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS		
The factors listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) having been considere	d, the government's objections to the re-	duction are overruled.
Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment date IT IS SO ORDERED.		
Order Date: March 26, 2008	Judge's signatur	
Effective Date:	James C. Turk, Senior United States I	
(if different from order date)	Printed name and	